

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/018,503	04/04/2002	Timo Vitikainen	4925-190PUS	2657		
7590 03/03/2005			EXAM	INER		
Michael C Stuart			SHIFERAW	SHIFERAW, ELENI A		
Cohen Pontani Suite 1210	Lieberman & Pavane	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
551 Fifth Aven	ue	2136				
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·						
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/018	3,503	VITIKAINEN, TIM	0			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			Shiferaw	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>04 April 2002</i>) :					
·	-	2b)⊠ This action i						
3)□	<i>,</i>							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) ⊠ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Province) cer No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	- O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/018,503 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-43 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCombe (GB 2 280 285 A) in view of Dahm et al. (Dahm, Patent No. US 6,301,471 B1).

As per claims 1 and 16, McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device for identifying a subscriber of a first network (2) in a second network, wherein authentication server functionality for a VAS platform is provided, comprising the steps of:

- a) allocating an address of said second network (9) to said subscriber (McCombe page 3 lines 4-page 4 lines 11; providing similar identification numbers for distant subscribers); and
- b) generating information about a mapping between the subscriber's address said second network (9) and a subscriber identity (McCombe page 11 lines 12-23; generating IMSI, and MSISDN);

McCombe does not explicitly teach identifying a subscriber in the VAS platform based on the mapping info.

However Dahm discloses:

c) transmitting the mapping to said second network (Dahm col. 8 lines 15-20, and col. 9 lines 58-col. 10 lines 4; subscriber ID, proxy server transmitting mobile phone subscriber's request with subscriber ID), wherein said subscriber is identified in the VAS platform based on said mapping information (Dahm col. 10 lines 20-24; subscriber is identified and VAS is provided in the second network server to the identified subscriber), wherein said authentication client means (52) is a RADIUS client (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440; remote second network server).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of Dahm within the system of McCombe because it would identify a subscriber from the second network server and the second network server offers a value added service to the identified subscriber of the first network.

As per claim 10, it has similar limitations as claim 1; therefore, it is being rejected under the same rationale over McCombe in view of Dahm. In addition, Dahm teaches:

- b) an authentication server (8) (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440 and col. 10 lines 10-12 and col. 10 lines 59-65) provided in said second network (9) and adapted to log and maintain said mapping information (Dahm col. 10 lines 50-65);
- c) wherein said authentication server (8) (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440) is a server for a VAS platform (7) (Dahm col. 10 lines 19-24) provided in said second network (9) (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440), wherein said VAS platform (7) is adapted to identify said subscriber (1) based on said mapping information (Dahm col. 10 lines 19-24 and col. 8 lines 16-20).

As per claim 2, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. An authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is transmitted to said second network, when said mapping between said address in said second network and the subscriber identity has changed (McCombe col. 3 lines 4-col. 4 lines 11; it is obvious to transmit new mapping information to the second network server when mapping information and subscriber identity has changed because it would always be available in the authentication server for value-added service).

As per claims 3, 14, 18, and 37-39, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said subscriber identity is at least one of an IMSI and an MSISDN of the subscriber (McCombe col. 11 lines 12-23).

As per claims 4, 19, and 20, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is transmitted in an access request message (Dahm col. 9 lines 58-col. 10 lines 4 and col. 11 lines 1-31). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 5, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said request access message is a RADIUS access request message (McCombe col. 8 lines 13-22).

As per claim 6, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication server functionality is included in the VAS platform (Dahm col. 10 lines 20-24). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 7, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication server functionality is provided by a dedicated authentication server (Dahm col. 10 lines 9-12 and lines 59-65). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 8, and 21-26, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is generated by authentication client functionality in a GGSN (Dahm col. 10 lines 52-col. 11 lines 12). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 9 and 27-28, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is used for at least one of a service specific charging and addressing of mobile terminals (McCombe col. 3 lines 14-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,503

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 11, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said gateway device is a GGSN (5) (Dahm col. 7 lines 8-28). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 12 and 34, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication client means (52) is a RADIUS client (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 13, 35, and 36, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said server (8) is a RADIUS server (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440, 404 and 402). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 15 and 40-43, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication client means (52) is arranged to transmit said mapping information in an access request message to said authentication server (8) (Dahm col. 10 lines 50-col. 11 lines 123). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 17, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said

authentication client means (52) is arranged to transmit said mapping information in an access request message (Dahm col. 10 lines 50-col. 11 lines 123). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni A Shiferaw whose telephone number is 571-272-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eleni Shiferaw

Art Unit 2136 February 7, 2005 Juse 8